

Methods for Assessing the Biological Effects of Specific Plant Components

Jed W. Fahey, M.S., Beverly A. Clevidence, Ph.D., and Robert M. Russell, M.D.

Until very recently, phytonutrient research was the province of natural product chemists and consisted of primarily anecdotal clinical references. In recent years, an extensive set of qualitative and semi-quantitative dietary epidemiologic data has been developed. This developing base of epidemiologic data is now being supplemented by biochemical, mechanistic, and genetic epidemiology of a more quantitative nature. As we seek to understand the mechanisms that explain a large body of epidemiologic evidence, newer laboratory methods continue to be developed. Though there is a continuing need for even more discriminating nutrition epidemiology to drive the basic research in this area forward, the focus of in vitro, animal and clinical (human) studies must continue to be refined, and appropriate biomarkers for chronic and acute (death) disease end-points must be developed.

Current Status of the Scientific Knowledge and Additional Knowledge Needed

The methods and databases used for determining modes of action and interaction of phytonutrients and for measuring amounts of phytonutrients within plant or animal tissue are summarized in Table 1.¹⁻²⁵ Improvements in measurement sensitivity have been made through, for example, improved electrochemical detection methodologies that

afford greater sensitivity than was heretofore available.²⁶ Additionally, the development of benchtop HPLC-MS systems that are less costly than previously available models (typically less than \$100,000) now permits the rapid resolution of complex phytochemical mixtures on both a quantitative and a qualitative basis. These recent developments have facilitated screening for biologic activity in a manner akin to the way that drugs are screened and are essential to moving from descriptive findings to elucidating mechanisms of action and interaction of phytonutrients. New models for research using animal models specific to selected biological mechanisms have also advanced substantially, and many of these are cited in this review.

Various phytonutrients are known to be good antioxidants in vitro, and are thought to have strong antioxidant properties in vivo and to exert a protective effect against oxidative damage to macromolecules (Table 2²⁷⁻⁴⁹). Although the antioxidant role of carotenoids is perhaps one of the most robust of the theses addressing phytochemical function, even this hypothesis has been recently questioned.⁵⁰ The phytonutrients with antioxidant activity are a highly diverse collection of compounds. It is widely believed that as antioxidants, phytonutrients can inhibit the propagation of free radical reactions that may ultimately lead to the development of degenerative diseases including cancer, cardiovascular disease, age-related macular degeneration, neurologic diseases, and rheumatoid, joint, or connective tissue disorders. Additionally, phytonutrients appear to protect human health by mechanisms that are independent, or largely independent, of their antioxidant properties. Some examples of the reported involvement of certain phytonutrients are provided in Table 3 and include: 1) limiting cell growth by enhancing cell to cell communication, 2) causing cancer cells to die (apoptosis), 3) arresting cell cycle progression, 4) altering steroidal hormone metabolism, 5) enhancing immune response, and 6) up-regulating the activity of enzymes that detoxify carcinogens.⁵¹⁻⁶³

An important barrier to phytonutrient research is the

Jed Fahey is a Faculty Research Associate in the Department of Pharmacology at The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205-2185, USA. Dr. Clevidence is Research Leader, Phytonutrient Laboratory at Human Nutrition Center, USDA-ARS, Beltsville, MD, USA. Dr. Russell is Associate Director at Jean Mayer Human Nutrition Research Center on Aging at Tufts University, Boston, MA, USA.

Table 1. Phytonutrients: Status of Methods and Data Availability

Class	Analytical Methods			Selected Analytical Reference(s) ^a
	Food Analysis	Body Compartments	Database Available?	
Carotenoids	Y	Y	Y ^b	1–2
Dietary Fiber	Y	Y(S)	Y ^c	3–4
Glucosinolates/Isothiocyanates	Y	Y(U)	N	5–7
Indoles	Y	N	N	8–9
Inositol Phosphates	Y	Y(S)	N	10–11
Phenols and cyclic compounds	Y	N	N	12
Phytoestrogens				
Isoflavones	Y	Y(U,S,P)	Y ^d	13–14
Lignans	Y	Y	N	13–14
Polyphenols				
Flavonoids	Y	N	N ^e	15–17
Non-Flavonoids	Y	N	N	18–19
Protease Inhibitors	Y	N	N	20
Saponins	Y	N	N	21
Sterols, plant	Y	N	N	22–23
Sulfides and thiols	Y	N	N	24–25

U=urine; S=stool; P=plasma.

^aSelected primary references, or reviews where enough of a consensus exists.

^bUSDA - Nutrition Coordination Center Carotenoid Database for U.S. Foods; available at www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp.

^cUSDA Nutrient Database for Standard Reference; available at www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp.

^dUSDA - Iowa State University Flavonoid Database.

^eUnder development; will be available at www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp upon completion.

lack of good animal models that comprehensively mimic human absorption, storage, and metabolism of phytonutrients, and ultimately, the outcomes of human health. Although animal models have been utilized to study the

effects of specific phytonutrients on various disease process, they must be applied with great care and caution.⁶⁴ In addition to numerous well-known rat, mouse, and hamster carcinogenesis models,⁶⁵ other animal models recently

Table 2. Assays for Antioxidant Effects of Plant Components

Total Antioxidant Activity

- Oxygen Radical Absorbance Capacity (ORAC) assay²⁷ used to measure total antioxidant activity of:
 - fruits²⁸
 - tea and vegetables²⁹
 - human plasma³⁰

Prevention of Oxidative Damage to DNA

- Single cell microgel electrophoresis (COMET) assay³¹ used for:
 - detection of DNA strand breaks³²
 - detection of DNA oxidation^{33,34}
 - assessment of DNA damage in lymphocytes following vegetable consumption³⁵
- DNA adduct repair^{36,37} [8-oxy-7,8-dihydro-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-oxodG) is repaired by excision and 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) is excreted in urine]; used to monitor:
 - reduced oxidative damage in subjects consuming brussels sprouts³⁸

Prevention of Oxidative Damage to Protein

- Carbonyl assay^{39–41}

Prevention of Oxidative Damage to Lipids

- F2-isoprostanes (prostaglandin-like) lipid peroxidation assay⁴²
 - A reduction (non-significant) in urinary 8-iso-prostaglandin F2 followed consumption of high levels of tomato products⁴³
- Breath-ethane and -pentane lipid peroxidation assay⁴⁴ for detection of end-products of n-3 and n-6 fatty acid peroxidation:
 - high fruit and vegetable consumption led to reduced levels of exhaled ethane⁴⁵
- spectrophotometric, fluorometric, and HPLC methods for measurement of lipid oxidation products⁴⁶
- ferrous ion oxidation assay (FOX) for lipid hydroperoxides⁴⁷
- conjugated diene detection for assessment of lipid peroxidation; popular for monitoring LDL oxidation in vitro⁴⁸
- oxidative modification of LDL⁴⁹

Table 3. Selected Biological Effects of Plant Components

Enzyme Induction

- Phase 2 enzyme induction⁵¹ by:
 - isothiocyanates and glucosinolates^{5,52,53}
 - carotenoids⁵⁴
 - resveratrol⁵⁵
 - withanolides⁵⁶
 - proanthocyanidins⁵⁷
 - polyphenols¹⁵

Altered Cell Growth, Viability, or Communication

- Regulation of gap junctional communication (GJC)⁵⁸ potentially leading (with carotenoids) to inhibition of transformation and chemoprotection against cancer^{55,59}
- Apoptosis induction⁶⁰
- Cell proliferation⁶¹
- Cell cycle arrest (non-ER; CDK6-dependent)⁶²

Hormone-like

- Phytoestrogens (which may alter hormone production, metabolism, or action at the cellular level)
 - lignans and isoflavonoids¹³
 - indole-3-carbinol⁶³
-

have been successfully utilized to study the effects of dietary components on carcinogenesis and include the house musk shrew⁶⁶ and fish models such as the rainbow trout fingerling (*Salmo sp.*)^{8,67} and the Japanese Medaka (*Oryzias latipes*).⁶⁸ Both gerbil (*Meriones unguiculatus*) and ferret (*Mustela putorius furo*) models—which are more appropriate animal models for studying the intestinal absorption of β -carotene and its storage and metabolism in body tissues—have been used to study the effects of carotenoids.^{69,70} A canine model that uses mechanically stenosed coronary arteries and intimal damage⁷¹ has been used to demonstrate that wine and grape juice⁷² as well as tea⁷³ inhibit in vivo platelet activity and thrombosis. Cao et al. have shown that supplementation of the rat diet with blueberry extracts (but not with an equal amount of antioxidants from spinach) can block hyperoxia-induced movements of proteins and low MW antioxidants from lung to serum.⁷⁴

Technical Barriers for Biological Effect Assessment

Assessment of the biologic effects of specific plant components is presently confounded by numerous technical barriers. Foremost among them is the need to develop appropriate biomarkers and in particular, to develop non-invasive tests for measurement of those biologic effects. Methods must take into account the need for high sensitivity measurements of small changes in end points. For example, a very slight (<5%) induction of mammalian detoxication (Phase 2) enzymes over the course of 20–30 years is likely to be important in reducing the risk of various

cancers, but with current techniques, in vivo detection of such a small change may not be possible.

Ideally, methods for assessing the biologic effects of plant components would be unquestionably relevant to human disease processes. Currently, however, it is not clear that information from in vitro assays can be directly translated to in vivo processes with a high level of confidence. Development of additional broad-spectrum and high-throughput bioassays (e.g., Oxygen Reduction Absorbance Capacity [ORAC], Comet assay for DNA damage, Phase 2 enzyme induction) will allow researchers to screen for large numbers of compounds for a desired activity. Additionally, broad-spectrum bioassays will allow the simultaneous study of groups of compounds and the possible synergistic effects of these compounds. Phytochemicals with putative nutrient or disease-ameliorating effects that are highlighted by these screens must then be examined using appropriate cell culture systems and animal models for studying specific classes of compounds; these approaches are critical to identifying tissue specificity of the various phytonutrients. Cell cultures such as the murine hepatoma system used by Prochaska et al.⁵¹ to monitor Phase 2 enzyme induction by chemoprotective agents have been widely used. However, the use of primary human cell cultures and of animal organ cultures has been much slower in gaining widespread acceptance, due to technical problems. Although Prochaska and Fernandes were successful in demonstrating Phase 2 enzyme induction by the drug oltipraz in the serum of mice, they were not able to repeat this observation in human serum.⁷⁵ More recently, Morel and co-workers claim to be able to successfully use human hepatocyte primary cultures to achieve this end.⁷⁶

In addition to these methodologic barriers, there are at least three experimental barriers that require further attention in order to facilitate the study of phytonutrient effects on health. The first is the lack of availability of standard test materials. For most of the classes of phytonutrients enumerated above, no good source of standard test materials exists. For certain of these classes, only one or a few representative compounds are available commercially. For other classes, only one or a few laboratories worldwide have isolated, purified, and characterized some of the representative compounds from plants, and in only a few cases have synthetic protocols been developed. Even where small quantities of pure compound exist, one cannot expect the researchers responsible for developing these sources to dispense samples to everyone who requests them.

Even more critical is the lack of availability of isotopically labeled plants and plant components. Although there has been a rapid upsurge in research on the mode of action of these compounds, only a few laboratories are producing phytonutrients that are radiolabeled and stable-

isotope-labeled. Some of these compounds (e.g. ^{13}C -glucose) can be generated in small quantities in tissue culture and in particular, in root cultures—cultures either initiated by exogenous hormone manipulation or by *Agrobacterium rhizogenes*. A disadvantage of ^{13}C -labeling is its high cost (e.g. \$5,000/lb for 100% ^{13}C labeled kale as cited by Steve Britz, USDA). Although less expensive, labeling with $^2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ has other problems including discrimination against ^2H by the plant, production of multiple isotopomers, and loss of label via transpirational loss of water. The need to use such labeled compounds is becoming more acute since they will play a critical role in experiments that can be designed to support very exciting—but highly speculative—epidemiologic and animal metabolism studies. Availability of plant materials intrinsically labeled with stable isotopes would permit great advances in the understanding of the absorption of these compounds by humans.⁷⁷ Availability of radiolabeled as well as stable isotopically labeled material would also permit great advances in the study of the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of these phytonutrients in a manner that is already used successfully with mineral nutrients such as calcium.^{78,79}

A final technical barrier identified by the workshop participants is the almost complete lack of availability of phytonutrient databases. Although a carotenoid database for fruits and vegetables has been compiled⁸⁰ and has been used to analyze food frequency questionnaires of cohorts from the Nurses Health Study and the Health Professionals Follow-Up Study,⁸¹ to our knowledge this is the only extant database that can be used to assess the content of any of the phytonutrient classes outlined in Table 1. The most recent version of the database for carotenoids (USDA-Nutrition Coordination Center Carotenoids Database for U.S. Foods) can be downloaded from www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp, as can the recently released food database on isoflavones (USDA - Iowa State University Database on Isoflavonoid Content of Foods). A food database for flavonoids is currently under development and will be released at the web site listed above.

Design Barriers for Biologic Effect Assessment

In order to facilitate dramatic progress in understanding the biologic effects of phytonutrients, certain design barriers must be addressed. Chronic exposure studies are extremely expensive, so targets and resources must be chosen and allocated carefully. Although chronic and acute endpoints are in large part known, the route to addressing these endpoints is littered with obstacles that can easily compromise the costly clinical studies designed to develop an understanding of the effect of “non-essential” nutrients on the disease process. Appropriate development of experimental designs must include carefully considered decisions as to whether to administer whole foods,

specific nutrients, or classes of nutrients.

All of these approaches have value and are expected to help advance the knowledge base in phytonutrient research. There are, however, cautions about administering high levels of single phytonutrients to humans. While feeding phytonutrient-rich foods has not been associated with harmful effects in humans, this has not been the case with some studies of supplements (e.g. β -carotene).⁵⁰ Identification of appropriate stressors such as alcohol, smoking and exercise will also be key to the development of high-quality results from these clinical studies. Additionally, administering single phytonutrients may affect absorption or utilization of other phytonutrients. It is recognized that a large and increasing percentage of the U.S. population consumes dietary supplements, so current as well as recent supplement use may confound outcome variables or markers used to assess biologic effects.

Priority Research Areas

A number of priority research areas focus on phytonutrients. Despite all the media attention in the past few years, the science of phytonutrients is still an embryonic discipline. Numerous questions must be addressed before recommendations can be made for dietary changes that would radically deviate from the 5-A-Day Guidelines.² The development of methods that can functionally quantitate biologic activity in animal models and in humans, as well as the identification of both the compounds and the animal models is of paramount importance. Continued development of high throughput screens (e.g., bioactivity-guided fractionation)⁸³ will be an intimate part of this process and will highlight compounds for isotopic labeling studies so that their mode of action and pharmacokinetics can be investigated. Thus, the basic mechanisms of action of these phytonutrients must be elucidated, along with an understanding of how the activity of these compounds in isolation differs from their activity when delivered in their natural (plant) milieu and how this activity can be modified by the effects of other phytonutrients.

Role of the Federal Government

It was the consensus of the workshop participants that a greater emphasis be placed on:

- developing standard test materials;
- improving high throughput screening and isotopic labeling technologies;
- emphasizing multidisciplinary research so that scientists in disciplines as diverse as plant science and cancer research can work cooperatively through joint funding. (Many of these projects traditionally would not be funded by either the United States Department of Agriculture [USDA], Health and Human Services, or other federal funding agencies.);
- supporting the development and use of nutrient/

phytonutrient databases to increase meaningful prospective epidemiologic studies on phytonutrients consumption — currently not possible due to a lack of a reference phytonutrient food composition database within the USDA Nutrient Database for Standard Reference; and

- developing a website to provide a central communication forum for those engaged in phytonutrient research. Such a website could be set up for a nominal cost by USDA.

Acknowledgments. The authors acknowledge the assistance of Gary Beecher (USDA) in a preliminary evaluation of the status of phytonutrient assessment methods, as well as the conference participants, many of whom had comments or ideas that are incorporated into this document.

1. Khachik F, Beecher GR, Goli MB, Lusby WR, Daitch CE. Separation and quantification of carotenoids in human plasma. *Meth Enzymol* 1992;213:205-19
2. Khachik F, Beecher GR, Goli MB, Lusby WR. Separation and quantitation of carotenoids in foods. *Meth Enzymol* 1992;213:347-59
3. Prosky L, Asp N-G, Furda I, et al. Determination of total dietary fiber in foods and food products: collaborative study. *J Assoc Off Anal Chem* 1985; 68:677-9
4. Baer DJ, Rumpler WV, Miles CW, Fahey GC Jr. Dietary fiber decreases the metabolizable energy content and nutrient digestibility of mixed diets fed to humans. *J Nutr* 1997;127:579-86
5. Fahey JW, Zhang Y, Talalay P. Broccoli sprouts: An exceptionally rich source of inducers of enzymes that protect against chemical carcinogens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1997;94:10367-72
6. Prestera T, Fahey JW, Holtzclaw WD, et al. Comprehensive chromatographic and spectroscopic methods for the separation and identification of intact glucosinolates. *Anal Biochem* 1996; 239:168-79
7. Zhang Y, Wade KL, Prestera T, Talalay P. Quantitative determination of isothiocyanates, dithiocarbamates, carbon disulfide, and related thiocarbonyl compounds by cyclocondensation with 1,2-benzenedithiol. *Anal Biochem* 1996; 239:160-7
8. Bailey GS, Hendricks JD, Shelton DW, Nixon JE, Pawlowski NE. Enhancement of carcinogenesis by the natural anticarcinogen indole-3-carbinol. *JNCI* 1987; 78(5):931-4
9. McDanell R, McLean AEM, Hanley AB, Heaney RK, Fenwick GR. Chemical and biological properties of indole glucosinolates (glucobrassicins): A review. *Fd Chem Toxic* 1988;26(1):59-70
10. Mayr GW. A novel metal-dye detection system permits picomolar-range h.p.l.c. analysis of inositol polyphosphates from non-radioactively labelled cell or tissue specimens. *Biochem J* 1988;254:585-91
11. Owen RW, Weisgerber UM, Spiegelhalter B, Bartsch H. Faecal phytic acid and its relation to other putative markers of risk for colorectal cancer. *Gut* 1996;38:591-7
12. Pratt DE. Antioxidants indigenous to foods. *Toxicol Indust Health*. 1993; 9(1-2):63-75
13. Adlercreutz H. Phytoestrogens: Epidemiology and a possible role in cancer protection. *Environ Health Perspectives* 1995;103(suppl 7):103-12
14. Kirkman LM, Lampe JW, Campbell DR, Martini MC, Slavin JL. Urinary lignan and isoflavonoid excretion in men and women consuming vegetable and soy diets. *Nutr Cancer* 1995;24:1-12
15. Plumb GW, Price KR, Rhodes MJ, Williamson G. Antioxidant properties of the major polyphenolic compounds in broccoli. *Free Rad Res* 1997; 27(4):429-35
16. Serafini M, Maiani G, Ferro-Luzzi A. Alcohol-free red wine enhances plasma antioxidant capacity in humans. *J Nutr* 1998;128:1003-7
17. Waterhouse AL, Price SF, McCord JD. Reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography methods for analysis of wine polyphenols. *Meth Enzymol*. 1998; 299:113-21
18. Daniel EM, Krupnick AS, Heur Y-H, et al. Extraction, stability and quantitation of ellagic acid in various fruits and nuts. *J Food Comp Anal* 1989;2:338-49.
19. West LG, Birac PM, Pratt DE. Separation of the isomeric isoflavones from soybeans by high-performance liquid chromatography. *J Chromatog* 1978;150(1):266-8
20. Huang C, MA WY, Ryan CA, Dong Z. Proteinase inhibitors I & II from potatoes specifically block UV-induced activator protein activation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1997;94(22):11957-62
21. Rao AV, Sung MK. Saponins as anticarcinogens. *J Nutr* 1995; 125(3 suppl):717s-724s
22. Phillips KM, Tarrago-Trani MT, Stewart KK. Phytosterol content of experimental diets differing in fatty acid composition. *Food Chem* 1999; 64:415-22
23. Slover HT, Thompson RH Jr, Merola GV. Determination of tocopherols and sterols by capillary gas chromatography. *JAOCS* 1983;60:1524-8
24. Block E. Flavorants from garlic, onion, and other alliums and their cancer-preventive properties. In: Huang M-T, Osawa T, Ho C-T, Rosen RT, eds. *Food phytochemicals for cancer prevention I: Fruits and vegetables*. Washington, D.C. American Chemical Society 1994;84-96
25. Milner JA. Garlic: its anticarcinogenic and anti-tumorigenic properties. *Nutr Rev* 1996;54(Pt 2):S82-S86
26. Anonymous. The analysis of natural products with coulometric array technology. Chelmsford MA;ESA: 1995
27. Cao G, Verdon CP, Wu AH, Wang H, Prior RL. Automated assay of oxygen radical absorbance capacity with the COBAS FARA II. *Clin Chem* 1995;41: 1738-44
28. Wang H, Cao G, Prior RL. Total antioxidant capacity of fruits. *J Agric Food Chem* 1996;44:701-5
29. Cao G, Sofic E, Prior RL. Antioxidant capacity of tea and common vegetables. *J Agric Food Chem* 1996;44:3426-31
30. Cao G, Russell RM, Lischner N, Prior RL. Serum antioxidant capacity is increased by consumption of strawberries, red wine or vitamin C in elderly women. *J Nutr* 1998;128:2383-90

31. Fairbairn DW, Olive PL, O'Neill KL. The comet assay: a comprehensive review. *Mutation Res* 1995;339:37-59
32. Singh NP, McCoy MT, Tice RR, Schneider EL. A simple technique for quantitation of low levels of DNA damage in individual cells. *Exp Cell Res* 1988;175:184-91
33. Collins AR, Duthie SJ, Dobson VL. Direct enzymic detection of endogenous oxidative base damage in human lymphocyte DNA. *Carcinogenesis* 1993;14:1733-5
34. Dusinska M, Collins AR. Detection of oxidized purines and UV-induced photoproducts in DNA of single cells by inclusion of lesion-specific enzymes in the comet assay. *ATLA* 1996;24:405-11
35. Pool-Zobel BL, Bub A, Muller H, Wollowski I, Rechkemmer G. Consumption of vegetables reduces genetic damage in humans: first results of a human intervention trial with carotenoid-rich foods. *Carcinogenesis* 1997;18:1847-50
36. Shigenaga MK, Gimeno CJ, Ames BN. Urinary 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine as a biological marker of in vivo oxidative DNA damage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1989;86:9697-9701
37. Helbock HL, Beckman KB, Shigenaga MK, et al. DNA oxidation matters: The HPLC-electrochemical detection assay of 8-oxo-deoxyguanosine and 8-oxo-guanine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998; 95:288-93
38. Verhagen H, Poulsen HE, Loft S, et al. Reduction of oxidative DNA-damage in humans by Brussels sprouts. *Carcinogenesis* 1995;16:969-70
39. Oliver CN, Ahn, B, Moerman EJ, et al. Age-related changes in oxidized proteins. *J Biol Chem* 1987; 262:5488-91
40. Reznick AZ, Packer L. Oxidative damage to proteins: spectrophotometric method for carbonyl assay. *Meth Enzymol* 1994;233:357-63
41. Levine RL, Williams JA, Stadtman ER, Shacter E. Carbonyl assays for determination of oxidatively modified proteins. *Meth Enzymol* 1994;233:346-57
42. Morrow JD, Roberts J. The isoprostanes: current knowledge and directions for future research. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1996;51:1-9
43. Ferretti A, Flanagan VP. Isolation and measurement of urinary 8-iso-prostaglandin F_{2α} by high-performance liquid chromatography and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *J Chromatog B* 1997;694:271-276
44. Kneepkens CMF, Lepage G, Roy CC. The potential of the hydrocarbon breath test as a measure of lipid peroxidation. *Free Radic Biol Med* 1994;7,127-60
45. Miller ER, Appel LJ, Risby TH. Effect of dietary patterns on measures of lipid peroxidation: results from a randomized clinical trial. *Circulation* 1998;98: 2390-95
46. Bird RP, Draper HH. Comparative studies on different methods of malonaldehyde determination. *Meth Enzymol* 1984;105:299-305
47. Jiang Z-Y, Hunt JV, Wolff SP. Ferrous ion oxidation in the presence of xylenol orange for detection of lipid hydroperoxide in low density lipoprotein. *Anal Biochem* 1992;202:384-9
48. Esterbauer H, Striegl G, Puhl H, Rotheneder M. Continuous monitoring of in vitro oxidation of human low density lipoprotein. *Free Rad Res Comm* 1989;6:67-75
49. Jialal I, Devaraj S. Assessment of LDL oxidation: In vivo and ex vivo measurements. In: *Antioxidant Methodology: In vivo and in vitro concepts*, Aruoma O, Cuppett S, eds. Champaign, IL. AOCS Press; 1997:85-100
50. Smigel K. Beta carotene fails to prevent cancer in two major studies; CARET intervention stopped. *JNCI* 1996;88:145
51. Prochaska HJ, Santamaria AB, Talalay P. Rapid detection of inducers of enzymes that protect against carcinogens. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1992; 89:2394-98
52. Zhang Y, Talalay P, Cho C-G, Posner GH. A major inducer of anticarcinogenic protective enzymes from broccoli: Isolation and elucidation of structure. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1992;89:2399-2403
53. Zhang Y, Kensler TW, Cho C-G, Posner GH, Talalay P. Anticarcinogenic activities of sulforaphane and structurally related synthetic norbornyl isothiocyanates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1994;91:3147-50
54. Khachik F, Bertram JS, Huang M-T, Fahey JW, Talalay P. Dietary carotenoids and their metabolites as potentially useful chemoprotective agents against cancer. In: *Antioxidant Food Supplements in Human Health*. Packer L, Hiramatsu M, Yoshikawa T, eds. Orlando FL. Academic Press; 1999:203-29
55. Jang M, Cai L, Udeani GO, et al. Cancer chemopreventive activity of resveratrol, a natural product derived from grapes. *Science* 1997;275 (5297): 218-20
56. Kennelly EJ, Gerhäuser C, Song LL, et al. Induction of quinone reductase by withanolides isolated from *Physalis philadelphica* (Tomatillos). *J Agric Food Chem*. 1997;45:3771-77
57. Bomser J, Madhavi DL, Singletary K, Smith MAL. In vitro anticancer activity of fruit extracts from *Vaccinium* species. *Planta Medica* 1996; 62:212-6
58. Bertram JS, Zhang L-X. Assays for regulation of gap junctional communication and connexin expression by carotenoids. *Meth Enzymol* 1994;234:235-44
59. Zhang L-X, Cooney RV, Bertram JS. Carotenoids enhance gap junctional communication and inhibit lipid peroxidation in C3H/10T1/2 cells: relationship to their cancer chemopreventive action. *Carcinogenesis*. 1991;12:2109-2114
60. Allen RT, Hunter WJ, Agrawal DK. Morphological and biochemical characterization and analysis of apoptosis. *J Pharmacol Toxicol Meth* 1997; 37(4):215-28
61. Elias JM. Cell proliferation indexes: a biomarker in solid tumors. *Biotechnic Histochem* 1997;72(2):78-85
62. Cover CM, Hsieh SJ, Tran SH, et al. Indole-3-carbinol inhibits the expression of cyclin-dependent kinase-6 and induces a G1 cell cycle arrest of human breast cancer cells independent of estrogen receptor signaling. *J Biol Chem* 1998;273(7):

63. Michnovicz JJ, Adlercreutz H, Bradlow HL. Changes in levels of urinary estrogen metabolites after oral indole-3-carbinol treatment in humans. *JNCI* 1997; 89(10):718-23
64. Thompson HJ. Diet, nutrition and cancer: Development of hypotheses and their evaluation in animal studies. *Cancer Res (suppl.)* 1993;53:2442S-2445S
65. Steele VE, Moon RC, Lubet RA, et al. Preclinical efficacy evaluation of potential chemopreventive agents in animal carcinogenesis models: methods and results from the NCI chemoprevention drug development program. *J Cell Biochem* 1994; suppl.20:32-54
66. Tsubura A, Shikata N, Oyaizu T, Takahashi H. Experimental models for carcinogenesis in the house musk shrew, *Suncus murinus*, Insectivora. *Histol Histopathol* 1995;10:1047-55
67. Sinnhuber RO, Hendricks JD, Wales JH et al. Neoplasms in rainbow trout, a sensitive animal model for environmental carcinogenesis. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1977; 298:389-408
68. Boorman GA, Botts S, Bunton TE, et al. Diagnostic criteria for degenerative, inflammatory, proliferative nonneoplastic and neoplastic liver lesions in medaka (*Oryzias latipes*): consensus of a National Toxicology Program Pathology Working Group. *Toxicol Pathol* 1997;25(2):202-10
69. Lee CM, Lederman JD, Hofmann NE, Erdman JW. The Mongolian gerbil (*Meriones unguiculatus*) is an appropriate animal model for evaluation of the conversion of β -carotene to vitamin A. *J Nutr* 1998;128:280-6
70. Ribaya-Mercado JD, Holmgren SC, Fox JG, Russell RM. Dietary β -carotene absorption and metabolism in ferrets and rats. *J Nutr* 1989;119:665-8
71. Folts J. An in vivo model of experimental arterial stenosis, intimal damage, and periodic thrombosis. *Circulation* 1991;83[suppl IV]:IV-3-IV-14
72. Demrow HS, Slane PR, Folts JD. Administration of wine and grape juice inhibits in vivo platelet activity and thrombosis in stenosed canine coronary arteries. *Circulation* 1995;91:1182-8
73. Folts J. Flavonoids in tea but not coffee given by gastric tube inhibit in vivo platelet activity and thrombosis in stenosed dog coronary arteries. *FASEB J* 1996;10:A793, #4579
74. Cao G, Shukitt-Hale B, Bickford PC, Joseph JA, McEwen J, Prior RL. Hyperoxia-induced changes in antioxidant capacity and the effect of dietary antioxidants. *J Appl Physiol* 1999;86:1817-22
75. Prochaska HJ, Fernandes CL. Elevation of serum phase II enzymes by anticarcinogenic enzyme inducers: markers for a chemoprotected state? *Carcinogenesis* 1993;14:2441-5
76. Morel F, Langouet S, Maheo K, Guillouzo A. The use of primary hepatocyte cultures for the evaluation of chemoprotective agents. *Cell Biol Toxicol* 1997;13:323-9
77. Grusak MA. Intrinsic stable isotope labeling of plants for nutritional investigations in humans. *Nutr Biochem* 1997;8:164-71
78. O'Brien KO, Abrams SA. Effects of development on techniques for calcium stable isotope studies in children. *Biol Mass Spectrom* 1994;23(6):357-61
79. Grusak MA, Pezeshgi S, O'Brien KO, Abrams SA. Intrinsic ^{42}Ca -labelling of green bean pods for use in human bioavailability studies. *J Sci Food Agric* 1996;70:11-15
80. Chug-Ahuja JK, Holden JM, Forman MR, et al. The development and application of a carotenoid database for fruits, vegetables and selected multicomponent foods. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1993;93(3):318-23
81. Michaud DS, Giovannucci EL, Ascherio A, et al. Associations of plasma carotenoid concentrations and dietary intake of specific carotenoids in samples of two prospective cohort studies using a new carotenoid database. *Cancer Epidem Biomark Prev* 1998;7:283-90
82. Havas S, Heimendinger J, Reynolds K, et al. 5 A Day for Better Health: a new research initiative. *J Am Diet Assoc* 1994; 94(1):32-6
83. Pezzuto JM. Plant-derived anticancer agents. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1997;53(2):121-33